

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-270

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that several superior court judges were biased against him and treated him unfairly.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judicial officers engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judicial officers' rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judicial officers did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Gustavo Aragon, Jr. did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Dated: November 16, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judicial officers on November 16, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

comp() ()

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street
Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2016-270

Commission on Judicial Conduct:

This complaint about _____ in the _____ because he didn't help solve my issue of mobbing activity by the courthouse security department. See the _____ letter I have included. After that letter, the harassment increased, including in the courtroom at my _____ trial. Then, one of the guards I suspect was responsible for reporting me to be on a watch list at the _____ at _____ where the _____ is. Since _____ I have endured police retaliation by the _____ each time I go there. I was actually detained the first time on _____ as they really sent me a message that something I was doing was officially disliked. I had already filed a lawsuit against the judge there - _____ . All of this looks like corrupt judicial activity to a person who would hear the accounts.

Further, mobbing activity on the part of _____ and his Judicial Administrative Assistant, _____, was reported to him after that and _____ did not give me help. I have included a _____ letter that was also copied to the _____ of the entire court, _____ about my need for help in the mobbing activity of arbitrary email ploys. When the judge gave me no relief in the trial, the other side represented by _____ of the _____ law firm asked for attorney fees and used the _____ hearing that resulted after my plea for help as a factor in their argument for the _____ in attorney fees, not including costs, that they wanted. I reasonably believe that _____ is not capable of helping a person like me who lacks power to get justice in _____ here.

Thank you for your help.

Comp() ()

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street
Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2016-270

Commission on Judicial Conduct:

This complaint about _____ is because he didn't help solve my issue where the judge in my case, _____, repeatedly participated in mobbing activity with phony issues about ex parte emails. It ended up that the phony hearing called by _____ was held on _____, and the other side's attorney used it against me when she asked for attorney fees for the litigation. Nothing was solved, and it was a form of harassment sanctioned by _____.

Also, he processed a motion on _____ to _____ that was addressed to _____. He went along with making it a Notice of _____ motion, which it clearly was not. I gave an account in that motion of the same mobbing activity by _____ office that _____ had engaged in, but I have received no help on this same problem with the _____ misconduct in my new litigation.

I have included the _____ letter I copied to _____ about my need for help in the dishonest _____ conduct when I wrote to _____ the _____ . Also included is my motion for _____ to _____, 'Notice', _____ ruling, and the Wikipedia entry on 'Mobbing'.

_____ as the _____ of _____ is not performing his duty in that office, and I have been harmed too many times in his participation of an official custom towards me of mobbing.

Thank you for your help.

one sentence added at the end
compared to the online complaint (Comp-Amended)
filed on ()

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street
Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

2016-270

Commission on Judicial Conduct:

This complaint about _____ regards three matters:
courthouse stalking with the reason(s) it is done kept from me (big clue
that the courthouse employees are the problem and lying about me), false
ex parte reason for Judicial Administrative Assistant not communicating
with me, not processing my _____ ethically.

The documentation I have included is my first motion to recuse (_____
pages), Notice from _____ (_____). Ruling from _____
of the _____ (_____), the
motion _____ (_____). Wikipedia article "Mobbing" (_____).
On explanation, and the ruling didn't address the other *denied my Motion without adequate* issues of the
above.

Thank you for your help.