

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-269

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against him, prejudged his motion, and was unprofessional.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 16, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 16, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I have been receiving appointed counsel (lawyers) that can be proven to be sabotaging my case(s). They have been refusing (ignoring/making unexplainable excuses) to why they haven't filed motions and, most importantly, to why they haven't attained easy attainable evidence (video footage) that could combat accusations made against me. Accusations that the prosecutor on my case recently filed a motion to use at my trial to show a particular pattern. But if I can be seen on footage elsewhere when these accusations occurred, then any prudent minded lawyer should be eager about attaining such content. Not the lawyers I've had. And I have, more than once, complained to the judge about this dilemma, as in these incompetent lawyers and their dereliction, but my () has failed to inquire about these lawyers lack of due process. Instead, he glorifies how good they are and how they know what's strategically best for me. There is nothing better, strategically better, than video footage. So why wouldn't a judge heed the complaints of lawyers not attempting to attain this footage, which is likely erased by now, which was probably the lawyers purpose behind stringing me along to begin with. →

CONTINUED

Apparently, this judge doesn't like that I tend to get up in court and, not rudely or out of turn, speak on my own behalf because he chided me by saying,

"Why wouldn't I? My lawyers tend not to so like any rational minded human being I feel compelled to fend myself. Of which the judge is obviously not fond of. Because him leathing me for this, or for whatever reason, has become more obvious by him constantly ruling against me even if it means breaking my constitutional rights. He denied my rights for a speedy trial by making my case complex upon the prosecutors request. My home was illegally entered by a undercover who was allowed in by someone with no authority to give consent as AZ constitutions constitutes. The judge ignored the age old protocol that"

"by saying"

"Illegal content was found in my home after this illegal entry. A officer lifted up a blanket (searching) but, in court, said he dropped it back down because he didn't want to"

"He already did! He cant once he commits a transgression (A unconstitutional violation). His excuse was because it (bed) looked fluffy. As if a human was there. Only a unprudent

mind I would think that. Especially when I had just been laying there when the officer told me to get up. So was I laying on top of someone? Parical!

sees the pictures of the bed and miraculously concurs with the officer. Unprudent minded perception! A judge not upholding my constitutional rights, especially when its so clear, is misconduct and prejudice in his rulings.

But is obviously looking for excuses, unscrupulous excuses, to rule against me at every turn. Whatever the prosecutor requests, the grants and rules in favor of. Simply put. Whatever request or ruling in favor of me, rules against. Its to the point his loathing (misconduct/prejudice) of me is apparent! More misconduct and prejudice came amongst my last evidentiary hearing.

Far before the hearing reached a end point, began talking about trial dates as if to say he had already made up his mind before my lawyer was done presenting his argument. Its unprofessional and unethical for a judge to make a ruling before all arguments is presented. He was discussing the trial date before hand because he knew he would not suppress the case. Hence knowing it would make the trial date he vehemently pointed out in the middle of the motion (hearing). This judges prejudicial rulings is vendetta inclined. He has done extensive damage to my case(s) and shows no

sign of being professional. I have other motions I wish to file. But how, or why, should I when is obviously not going to be professional in his rulings? A judge isn't suppose to be resentfull, personally inclined, or partial (prejudice) toward defendants. misconduct has long crossed the threshold of professionalism. I am not a Angel. But I was raised to believe that there is atleast righteousness in this world in the form of peace officers and judges. But my current experience(s) with is clouding that perception. If those like him, that doesn't uphold constitutional values, is the last line of righteousness, then this system is in very vile hands. Im sure this complaint is gonna cause these vile hands to now come for my throat more relentless than ever. Well let the record show I humbly step forward as the sacrificial lamb for the cause of pointing out what many is too dim witted, foolish, or scared to point out. Im hoping this complaint will remind he is a guardian of the constitutions and not someone that biasly turns a blind eye to them at spitefull conveniences.