

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 16-253

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace failed to recuse himself, failed to let her present her evidence, and issued improper legal rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. In addition, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: November 16, 2016

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 16, 2016.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

I understand the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case.

I declare, under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing information and the facts I have provided upon which my allegations of judicial misconduct are based are true and correct.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

INSTRUCTIONS

Use the following space or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint. Explain why you believe what the judge did constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list the names, dates, times, and places relevant to your allegations. Additional pages may be used and relevant copies of documents may be sent with your complaint (please do not send original documents). Use one side of each page only and write legibly or type your complaint. Please keep a copy of your complaint for your records.

I am today filing this claim against	_____	for acts of impropriety.
Conflict of interest, on _____ the former tenant. I had subpoenaed for mv case. the same house the defendant speak or show proof.	_____ heard case _____ this case, was with _____ he also found in favor of the _____ and would not let	
On the Judgement document dated _____ address is local in fact _____ miles away, why was she not in court? She wasn't at the walk thru when we saw the house for about _____ minutes, nor was she present when _____ handed us the keys to the residence at one of their other rentals off _____, she was only present on _____ after using their key to break in our residence, which was against the rules due to the county posting a STOP Work Order. So. I feel it is against the rules. The judge obviously is obviously changing the rules to accomidate	_____ current address is _____ found in favor of the defendant. I would like to know if _____	
On paperwork I received from: Topic: _____ date issued _____	_____	
Procedure page "Be sure to come on the correct day or time. When I asked the clerk, phone calls were not allowed. The defendant, _____ was not present during the walk-thru or lease. She was not physically present at the trial." Why _____ accepted her testimony by phone is behind me? As she was supposed to appear in person. She is a local, why break the rules and allow phone testimony, fact is _____ is a liar.	_____	
The Judge gave specific instructions, he would hear from the Plaintiff, that would be me, then the defendant, and then come back to us to show proof or evidence of what the defendant's story was. This was not the case. The defendant handed the judge, _____ a piece of paper and the judge changed his rules he stated from the begining. Not letting us show proof of what the defendant stated was false. He was also confusing himself with the dates of the actual emails, pictures etc..., with the day they were printed. Big difference. I also had other proof, I wanted to submit and he refused. He also refused to return my paperwork, this is all in the hearing. It seems to us with the hearing on _____ and our it was a conflict of interest, and this was supposed to be heard originally by _____	_____	
I had ample proof of mold, not mildew present. _____ was looking at the print date of the documents and not the actual date. He said we signed the lease, we were not aware of all the damages in the home until i became ill on the home _____ he still wouldn't listen. My hopsital paperwork clearly state I had an allergic reaction to black mold, ne said there was no proof? I also had a subpeona for the former tenant, who dealt with mold for _____ years. Due to a clerk, at _____ not knowing her job, she failed to inform me I have to serve the subpeonas? Find it interesting why i would get a fee waiver for such service? Doesn't make much sense?	_____	

When I requested my proof, documents, pictures, and receipts, said in days. Then threatened me with contempt of court. I had the burden of proof. I sought a legal opinion, with the evidence, he refused to look at properly, we feel it was a conflict of interest of him hearing the case to begin with. my documents are still in his/ the courts possession until

During our hearing on case number brought to the attention of honorable case

and case
NOT EMISSABLE FOR THIS
hearing. BUT HEARD lie anyway, these were for Injunction
Against Harassment. The true fact of the matter . which we could not explain was that on
all parties appeared and
Honorable denied each (all) harassmt orders listed above.)

Not sure what paper handed the judge, but his attitude changed. I hope you review the transcripts. (Sad to say, what it seemed to my witnesses and I, after handed that paper to , we became aware something was not right because of his radical change of attitude. It seemed to us some sort of pay-off.

I had proof was aware of the mold problem, from former tenants.

Mold is still in the home on . There is no heat, gas or cooling in the home since (There is only one stove)
I have proof that mold is present, my hospital bill stated the reason; allergy to BLACK MOLD. I had a speciman I collected on test and the results came back.
TEST ADDRESS: from: Arizona room
(2) TWO TYPES OF MOLD WERE PRESENT; 1) Hyphae 2) Smuts Myxomycetous

and both lied on the stand, stating it was mildew and seemed to favor the when they were in the wrong. Why did this judge allow special favors? Why couldn't we show proof the lied? I would like both cases reviewed. my case on
& on ()
and was hearing date. I believe thes CASES that were heard, ruled unfairly, by his impropriety () I would like them reviewed. I will be filing a with
in reference to impropriety behavior.

Thus, I am filing this complaint to you today.
I swear under oath and the best of my knowledge the above facts are true.

Sincerely,

We had to show _____ all of our papers and proof to _____ but _____ did not show, his papers to us. Also _____ said we were harassing her, which is not exactly what had happened. We both filed Injunction of Harassment against each other and _____, on _____ denied all requests.

_____ wrote in her response it was not mold in the home it was mildew, that also was not correct, it has been there for year's ref: _____ There is no heat, gas or cooling on _____

_____ I also was trying to give _____ documents to prove this, but he was ready to render his decision. He was also confused on my proof with the dates the pictures were taken and the dates they were printed. I have attached all the proofs in addition to the professional Lab Analysis done at the test address in the Arizona Room of _____ and _____

their findings. The house was RED Tagged by _____ Orange Tagged by 1 _____

_____ declared uninhabitable by a building inspector. I think I have more than enough evidence to Prove my case should be vacated. I have filed a Motion _____