

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 15-220

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

An anonymous complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased against criminal defendants.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: September 10, 2015

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George A. Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

A copy of this order was mailed to the judge on September 10, 2015.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

comp

It has come to my attention, through a review of the cases and then confirmed by my attorney, that Judge has NEVER ruled against the state.

As long as he has been in there, EVERY case has been the defendants fault, the state hasnt made judgement?

It seems that the case is already decided before the defendant presents their case.

What kind of justice is THIS?

I hope you check into this. It is no wonder \_\_\_\_\_ has rated \_\_\_\_\_ in \_\_\_\_\_ for the courts system.

Until he is retired or taken out, which was a real possibility he was on the line in the past, I will remain anonymous and concerned citizen. I will be watching to see the cases and the outcomes.

In your review I hope that something changes, at this point a defendant has lost before setting foot in the court room, that is not how our justice system is suppose to be.

thank you.

515