

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 13-344

---

Judge:	No. 1720014839A
Complainant:	No. 1720014839B

---

**ORDER**

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was biased and made incorrect rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review legal issues. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 26, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

---

George A. Riemer  
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on February 26, 2014.

*This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.*

(Defendant)

2013 344

(AN PRO, SE LITIGANT)

IN THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA  
IN and FOR THE COUNTY OF

In \_\_\_\_\_ is assigned to The Hon.

Div. \_\_\_\_\_ Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ has been bias

and prejudice throughout all of MR.

Court proceedings. Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ also plans to let

the state use manufacture evidence when

he knows it was manufacture by the police.

Hon. \_\_\_\_\_ has been in denial to facts in

defendant case, such as defendant was never

found in possession of what hes being charge

with me \_\_\_\_\_ stated thats for a Jury to decide

when he knows the truth and facts to the

case and should base his decision on the

facts, not on personal beliefs or on a personal

1. opinion, but can be based in facts of law.  
2. As stated in ARS 17A Rules of Evidence Rule 81.  
3. Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, Canon 1. An  
4. independent and Hon. judiciary is indispensable to  
5. Justice in our society. A Judge should participate in  
6. establishing, maintaining and enforcing high stand-  
7. ards of conduct, and shall personally observe those  
8. standards so that the integrity and independence of  
9. the judiciary will be preserved. This could not be  
10. the case where the judge is not holding the  
11. law to what it is and where he plans to let  
12. the state use manufacture and misleading evid-  
13. ence to try the defendant. See  
14.  
15.  
16.

also see Matter of

17.  
18.  
19. f  
20. As stated in Canon 2 A. A judge shall respect  
21. and comply with the law and shall act at all  
22. times in a manner that promotes public confi-  
23. dence in the integrity and impartiality of the  
24. Judiciary. This can not be the case  
25. where Mr. has been held in  
26.  
27.  
28.

1. the COUNTY Jail for a charge the  
2. Judge knows on facts that me did  
3. not commit But Hon denied a Motion To  
4. dismiss the prosecution. As stated in Rule 81 Canon  
5. 3.1 B, 2 A judge shall be faithful to the  
6. law and maintain professional competence in it.  
7. A judge shall not be swayed by partisan interests  
8. Public clamor or fear of criticism. B, 5 A judge  
9. shall perform judicial duties without bias or  
10. prejudice. A judge shall not, in the performance of  
11. judicial duties, by words or conduct manifest bias  
12. or prejudice. This could not be the case where  
13. Hon. allowed to strike the  
14. defendant's pro se Motion to Request Disclosure, this  
15. is a violation of me. due process rights.

16. Also as stated in Rule 81 Canon 3. B. 7 A  
17. judge shall accord to every person who has a  
18. legal interest in a proceeding, or that person's  
19. lawyer, the right to be heard according to law.

20. This could not be the case where  
21.  
22.  
23.  
24.  
25.  
26.  
27.  
28.

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
- 10.
- 11.
- 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
- 19.
- 20.
- 21.
- 22.
- 23.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26.
- 27.
- 28.

has been bias to the facts in MR.  
Case as well as the law. For these reasons  
I ask for the bar to look into Hon.  
Judicial Conduct.

Respectfully submitted this            day of

By

Pro, se