

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-339

Judge:	No. 1005014502A
Complainant:	No. 1005014502B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner issued an injunction against harassment to parties that harassed him, not the other way around.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the commissioner engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the commissioner did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: February 12, 2014.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the commissioner on February 12, 2014.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

Enq

Commission on Judicial Conduct, cc: Deputy County Attorneys Office

1501 W. Washington St.

Suite 22A

Phoenix, Ariz. 85007

RE: The Judicial Misconduct of Commissioners

Dear Sirs,

are a ticking time-bomb. Evidence has emerged that they are likely to shoot me, when I emerge from prison. My homicide is imminent. The reprehensible Commissioners truckling to subterfuge issued my persecutors with illicit "Injunctions Against Harassment," or a "Protection Order," in violation of the Arizona Revised Statute #12-1809, and my Constitutional Rights. He granted my harassers, paradoxically, with an "injunction" intended to protect victims of harassment with a court-ordered restrainer. Commissioners diabolically dishonest judicial-conduct countermeasures the intentions of the Legislature of Arizona.

They have been harassing, annoying, attacking, alarming, confronting, frightening, getting me fired from my job, trespassing on my property, harassing, preying on my dear and and driving us from the house we built in in Arizona. In a word, and

have destroyed my life, and are not qualified, by any stretch of the imagination, to receive a court-ordered injunction against me! I charge

with judicial misconduct, and call for his disbarment. I hope
your Commission on Judicial Conduct can help. I have notified the
U. S. Attorney General's Office in Washington D.C. at the U. S. Department
of Justice about Commissioner's improper conduct and the
hoax.

I was in County Jail in _____ when Mr. and Mrs. _____
and _____ petitioned Commissioner _____ for an
Injunction Against Harassment against me! Because I was involuntarily
confined, and it would be impossible for me to "harass" the
from jail, they manufactured evidence to justify their petition.
The _____ have manufactured evidence for twelve years allegedly
to support their claims for "protection" against me. I am vulnerable, and require protection.
Mr. and Mrs. _____ forged a letter, purported to be from me,
and mailed it to themselves. They took this to Commissioner
and offered it up as my alleged "harassment", and
their justification for a new injunction which Commissioner
duly issued. The following summer I filed a motion,
from jail, to Quash the _____ illicit injunction. Commissioner
denied my request, despite forensic evidence,
and expert witness testimony, ^{proving} that I was not the author of
letter. My finger prints were not on the letter. The
handwriting was not mine. The contents was directed at me.
It was incendiary, racist, provacational, threatening, and
intended for me; to scare me. In fact, in every respect it
resembled the letters my _____ and I have been receiving
from the _____ for _____ years. Even after my _____ and
relocated to _____ the _____ hunted them down
to their new house, and sent them hate-mail. The letter
Commissioner _____ based his decision to issue a new injunction upon
was practically identical to _____ previous hate-mail.

To complete this process the had the court send me a Notice of Right to Request Not to Receive Inmate Mail Pursuant to A.R.S. # 13-4411.01. I would sooner die in jail, chew razor-blades, and walk barefoot on burning coals than write ~~right~~ to whom I have never written to. I don't associate with Show Contestants. I have sent U.S. Attorney General copies of both forgery, and A.R.S. # 13.4411.01 letters.

The are beginning to believe their own lies, which is insanity, and ^{goes} beyond gaming the system. Commissioners provided with a court-ordered weapon of harassment.

By issuing an injunction to them, he has given my harassers immunity from arrest and prosecution. Commissioners placed the fox inside the hen-house with the right of impunity to attack me. are not my victims. They have been harassing me for in just this manner.

Commissioners issued a fraudulent injunction to that states "my paternity of their and claims "child support"! In it I am accused of "domestic violence," and ^{being} "dangerous to the family!" Now the burden upon me is heavier than ever, because of Commissioners willful negligence. There is no family relationship, or kinship between us. I DO NOT know and Mrs. can safely be assured that it was not I whom ^{his wife} cuckolded him with. I am madly in love with my ^{own} and Mrs. regular features are unattractive. I have never committed "domestic violence," and the are not mine. nor was I ever married to

"We cannot forever hide the truth about ourselves, from ourselves" - John McLean

what are the penalties for filing a petition "under penalty of perjury," when the committed perjury? I am holding Commissioner

accountable for his participation, and promotion of fraud. He is rubber-stamping

petitions for injunctions by allowing my persecutors to harass me with impunity. The attacks have become common place.

In my appeal, to quash unlawful injunction, my lawyer gave

Commissioner indisputable evidence of dissembled,

under oath, testimony, and ^{examples of} their harassment of me. Signs of

unbalanced state of mind were revealed.

quite obviously. Commissioner did not

have a shred of firm assurance on which to base his decision to issue with an injunction against me, not a shred of hard evidence.

In told me that I had grounds for a civil suit against the when they contacted my employer making unfounded allegations against ^{me} which led to my losing my job.

are imposters. They are not my "victims." There is no other

way to describe Commissioner conduct, other than

"complicity". He did not have sufficient grounds to issue

the with an injunction against me, their victim.

Commissioner has reduced me to complete subjugation,

he enabled to control every aspect of my life in violation

of the Bill of Rights. If I were a threat to

would their ruse have lasted? Commissioner

facilitated the sadistic ambitions of the

by placing them beyond the reach of the law. He indemnified their

hate-crime. The causes of winds are obscure, why they have fixed on

me to regulate my life is as complex as clear: complete annihilation. Commissioner

anti-semitism, but the objects are quite needs to exercise common sense.

Commission on Judicial Conduct,
1501 W. Washington Street
Suite 129
Phoenix, Az, 85007

Copy: U. S. Attorney General,
U. S. Department of Justice,

Dear Sirs

Like a majority of its sister States, Arizona has implemented a procedure for the issuance of injunctions against harassment, or orders of protection. The trial courts use these protective injunctions to "mediate" personal disputes.¹ These protective orders carry the force of law, with the violator subject to criminal sanctions. While these protective orders intend to govern an individual's conduct, they stifle speech or communication before it is made, and regulate my private life to the extent that it violates The Bill of Rights under the U. S. Constitution. These draconian measures create a priori restraint on speech enforced by criminal penalties, and everyday behaviour taken for granted by millions of Americans. An example of this is precluding my entrance to Church, Public Parks, and Libraries. The extent to which Commissioners illicit injunction issuance to imposes a priori restraint on my speech and conduct protected by the Constitution renders it unconstitutional. By virtue of its unworkable, and impracticability violates my Constitutional guarantees. Commissioners places an undue burden on me, because I had to go to great expense, in legal fees, to defend myself against what is intrinsically a court order that the use as an instrument for harassment. I have engaged in a non-domestic dispute with me

^{1.}
for

"Harassment" means a knowing course of conduct directed at a specific person which seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses the person, and serves no legitimate purpose. The controlling statute - namely Oregon Revised

Statute # 12-1809. The ^{behavior} unremitting harassing began in But they have gone to the extreme of getting me fired from my job, driving us from the house we built in and inflicting psychological harm on my and the rupturing of my

As can see I am clearly the victim of a hate-crime; and he had no business issuing an "Injunction Against Harassment" to and

when unquestionably it is they who are harassing me! An example of duplicity is when they attacked me. I complained to the police who arrested me and I complained to the owner of the building in which

attack occurred, and I was charged with "Aggravated Harassment", a Class Five Felony, and got convicted and consequently incarcerated. Posing as my victims, the attack me in broad daylight. If I so much as complain, I am charged and prosecuted with "harassing the because of issuance of an

injunction court-order, notwithstanding my First Amendment Freedom of Speech protections of the U.S. Constitution.

Commissioner issued an injunction to the harassers, and it protects them from arrest, accountability, prosecution, and jail-time. He granted them immunity to continue their chronic harassment against me

"Sufficiency of Evidence": Commissioner erred in issuing my persecutors with an "Injunction Against Harassment" due to an insufficiency of evidence for proof of my ^{alleged} "harassment", and indeed any justification that an "Injunction" was even necessary. Commissioner

should not have issued an "Injunction" to the because they use it as a weapon of harassment against me; diabolically!

Commissioner betrayed the moral authority of his office. As farcical as it may at first seem, some of my neighbors rub their eyes in disbelief at the pettiness of it all, but Commissioner's actions have been utterly devastating for my family. His aim is clear, that this autocratic notion of his, that can arbitrarily sacrifice my life and my freedoms for his own interests, is anathema to me. He has permitted savage, consequent free harassment to continue. He must be made to recognize how much he himself contributes to the problem of my subjugation. The injunctions regulate and regiment my life with a vindictive obsession akin to the grand narrative is that I am somehow the villain. Hatched by the who masquerade as "victims". In which other State in America can a criminal chronic harasser walk into a courtroom and receive an "Injunction Against Harassment", where the "Defendant" is the petitioner's victim? This is catastrophically ridiculous. undermines the from arrest and prosecution by issuing them with an "Injunction" against me. In effect supplying with a hunting license where I am their prey. In a coercive manner Commissioner is failing to respond properly to the suffering of my family by fuelling ability to pursue their hate-crime without accountability, and enabling their discrimination and persecution to metastasize into a racially-motivated vengeful extended-attack. It seems to me he is ineffective as a bench-judge, dysfunctional and an abject failure. The paradox of issuing a restraining order (court-ordered injunction) against the victim defies belief. Has Commissioner lost his mind, and his moral

"We cannot forever hide the truth about ourselves from ourselves." - John McCain

Time for a Reality Check:

Charge Commissioner and facilitating with negligence, willful connivance, are criminals. I
hate-crime. He confirms what common
sense informs us, and made absolutely no attempt to put a
stop to this travesty of justice. He had an opportunity to stop the
injustice and imposture of and but squandered it.
The implications of action has increased the scope,
and duration of harassment, and he does not get it, as a
matter of morality. He demonstrates poor judgement.

desire to destroy my life and control the smallest aspects
of my private life, and daily living is a psychosis only they, and
their psychiatrist understands. He has consented to terror
and pose a very grave threat to my freedoms,
and life. They are armed, dangerous, and psychopathic, and obsessed with
hunting me. There are lots of warnings that the are crazy enough
to kill me. issuance of an injunction to my potential

assassins is a fiasco. It was incumbent on Commissioner
to approve my motion to quash illicit
injunction; he had a legal and moral duty to stop their hate-crime,
not prolong it. He revealed himself to be both arrogant,
and incompetent. Ignoring victim's warnings is
reckless, ludicrous, and judicially irresponsible. His
misconduct is unconscionable. The human cost has been overwhelming.

So I conclude that condones 'ghettoization
of my family. percent of the city of is off-limits to my
family. We are precluded from our church, and The
city library like American- in the pre-Civil Rights era
of former Confederate states. That is the racist effect of injunction that
Commissioner issued to suppress me, and my family. It is per

COMMISSIONER

Commission on Judicial Conduct,
1501 W. Washington St.,
Suite 229,
Phoenix Ar. 85007.

cc: The Arizona Republic
200 E. Van Buren St.,
Phoenix, Ar. 85004

RE:

Dear Sirs,

The scandal created by _____ at the _____ Police Department in Arizona continues. I fear the worst. There is mounting evidence of Detective _____ Police Corruptio Detective _____ police reports are atrocious. He excises whole incidents of _____ and _____ criminal conduct and inserts conjecture and non-substantive speculation in place of the factual accounts.

_____ writes bad fiction, not reliable Police Narratives He is a liar and irresponsible, thoroughly corrupt and stupid.

The most meaningful event surely is his own wrongdoing which was curiously revealed at trial during witness testimony

I heard a gasp from the gallery when _____ told the court that Detective _____ told them to "buy and use guns against me!" Commissioner _____ take note.

I am confident that if Police _____ does not do something to stop _____ and _____ they are going to murder ^{me} with the weapons Detective _____ sanctioned. Commissioner _____ played right into subterfuge in his issuance of an "Injunction" to my harassers. Commissioner _____ is aiding and abetting criminals

and sources of physical power and
proclivity towards violence is undiminished. If anything
it has been exacerbated by Detective
introduction of guns and advocacy of involved
shooting. Their firearms, like their harassment is directed
at me. I am speaking out in the likelihood of my
death. Without melodrama, or self pity the chances that
my situation will end fatally for me are very high indeed;
an inevitable corollary of unchecked violence
there is apparently no end and conspired
to commit inhumane hate-crimes against my family which
inexorably will end in my death. Their injunction is invalid.

Mrs. is mentally ill. She lies more times
than and is capable of murdering me.
is a sadist whose deceit is well documented
and characteristic of serial criminals. He, and his wife
have harassed my family for

I want the liberty to write and read whatever I please.

Detective charged me with "Third Party
Contact" when I wrote a letter to the principal of my
school. He, and the saw a
connection to them! Consequently, I spent
in County Jail. had no business granting an injunction

^{to them} I expect at a minimum the liberty to belong to any
church, freedom from arbitrary arrest or imprisonment,
and freedom from captious police surveillance and regimenta-
tion of my conduct. exercise

control of what is left of my life through their illicit injunction
issued by Commissioner Yours truly.