

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 13-188

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| Judge: | No. 0432014344A |
| Complainant: | No. 0432014344B |

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court judge has intentionally and maliciously refused to appoint counsel to represent him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the complaint and the court record, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of court rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety, pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: August 21, 2013.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on August 21, 2013.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

17 July 2013

JUL 22 2013

This is intended to serve two purposes. First, this is to act as a formal complaint against

the grounds for said complaint are detailed in the documents accompanying this letter/complaint.

Second, this is to inform the Commission on Judicial Conduct that I have filed a civil rights action against superior court

I for his knowing and intentional acts which have, and continue, to deprive me of my right to the appointment of counsel secured under the sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

It is both very sad, and horrific that an individual has to sue a judge to realize his rights in the superior court.