

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-110

Complainant: No. 1441110890A

Judge: No. 1441110890B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a pro tem justice of the peace made inappropriate comments and improperly provided legal advice.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After review, the commission decided to dismiss this matter with a private warning urging the judge to be more clear in his communications with litigants, particularly where only one side is represented by counsel, and to review the law of concurrent jurisdiction between the justice court and superior court. The case is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(b) and 23(a).

Dated: August 20, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Louis Dominguez

Louis Frank Dominguez
Commission Chair

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on August 20, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

APR 30 2012

Tuesday, April 24, 2012

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
(602) 452-3200

CV-

Dear Attorney General:

I had a oral argument in court on April 23, 2012 before Judge

He said he didn't understand how my case made it to court because I file in the wrong court and that I should file in the superior court. I asked Judge if I could do a change of venue so that my case will be transfer to the superior court. He told me know that he didn't have jurisdiction to transfer my case because it was a different court.

Judge also told me that if I did file in the superior court that I would automatically lose . He went on to say do you know what kind of attorney your going up against . This attorney is a Consolidated Justice Court Judge he works for this court. He's basically said he working for the other side but he's giving the attorney legal advices on what steps he need to make as far as preparing a opening brief because I told Judge that I wrote a letter to a superior court judge about bringing my case to the superior court room. In my opinion illegal activity was taking place because Judge

told me he couldn't give me legal advise but on the flip side he's helping and pointing out the details to attorney Tibor about preparing an opening brief, they were conspiring against me. I was called up to the bench by Judge alone with Tibor and I didn't realize I was in an oral argument until after it was said and done. I didn't have any

of my documents in front of me and after the oral argument Judge dismiss my case.

I request a continuance and he still dismiss my case. Enclose is a copy of the dismissal which states per attorney notice dismissed, not sure what they are referring to but I only received one paper copy in court. Judge and attorney Tibor also asked me what the outcome was from the Industrial Commission Court and copy of the letter that I receive from the superior court judge. After I gave Judge that information he said your not suppose to give me the status of another attorney's decision. Judge asked attorney Tibor did he know about the Industrial Commission case. Tibor replied no my client didn't tell me that she was previously in court for the same matter. What that being said I would like to file complaints against . I sending this by e-mail and certified mail.

Thank You