

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-086

Complainant: No. 1439310960A

Judge: No. 1439310960B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge was disrespectful, lied to him, and assisted the prosecutor and his defense counsel in denying him due process.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the recording of the pretrial conference hearing and the trial, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to review the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: July 16, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on July 16, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2012-086****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE****Your name:****Judge's name:****Date:** 3/31/2012

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I WAS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE
County Superior Court Div 1 F
AS A DEFENDANT, CHARGED WITH THREE "F"
CLASS FOUR. PRIOR TO THE CASE PROCEEDING TO TRIAL
I ATTEMPTED TO EXPLAIN TO THE JUDGE THAT
I HAD A CONFLICT WITH APPOINTED COUNSEL.
I WAS TOLD TO BE QUIET WAIT. THE JUDGE
THAN TOLD ME TO SHUT UP. I WAS OUT
ON BOND. THIS IS SUPPORTED IN PART BY
THE REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT 9-14-11. PRIOR TO
MY TRIAL PROCEEDING ON 1-24-12 I FILED A
MOTION OBJECTING TO TRIAL COURT'S FAILURE(S)
FORCING ME TO GO TO TRIAL WITH INCOMPETENT
COUNSEL / INEFFECTIVE. ON 1-24-12 JUDGE
WENT ON THE RECORD "STATING HE
REVIEWED THE RECORD SPECIFICALLY A TAPE"
THE 9-14-11 TRANSCRIPT WHICH I OBTAINED
BY PAYING CASH, ON 2-13-12, PROVES THAT THE
JUDGE LIED. ON 1-24-12 STATING THERE WAS
NOTHING ON THE TAPE TO SUPPORT MY CLAIMS. FORCED
ME TO TRIAL WITH ASST P.D.
THAN THE JUDGE ALLOWED THE ASST P.D. AND
THE DEPUTY COUNTY ATTY TO ENTER
INTO A STIPULATION, AFTER THE STATE AND
DEFENSE HAD RESTED, BEHIND MY BACK
WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. WHICH RESULTED IN MY
CONVICTIONS.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

(1)

OVER

THE Judge Assited IN My Convictions, By Allowing
the Stipulation," THIS Constitutes Judicial misconduct.
And is Supported By the Records. I waited
13 months for my Trial, THE JUDGE

FORCED ME TO TRIAL WITH A ASST-
P.D. THAN ALLOWED HER TO Ambush ME.
AFTER THE STATE HAD RESTED, AND THE
DEFENSE RESTED WITHOUT ANY DEFENSE,
I HAD A Three DAY TRIAL, AFTER THE Jury
Went to Deliberate, I Attempted to tell
the Judge My Mom Had Died the Nite
Before. He wouldnt even let me talk.
THE VERDICTS where read, Guilty, I was
Imediatly taken into Custody. Juror
Number #17 Asked the Judge
IF THE DEF. HAD A PRIOR Conviction.
THE Judge responded, He's welcome
to Show up For Sentencing

The Judge Did absolutely Nothing to
 See that I recieved Due process.
 HAD an obligation to Investigate
 Juror #17 Question. The Certified
 R.T. 9-14-11, prove my Complaint,
 a long with the 1-26-12 Minute
 Entry.

DEFINITION OF STIPULATION -
 IN THE LAW OF the United States, a Stipulation
 IS An agreement MADE between opposing parties prior to a
pending hearing or trial.

NOT AFTER Both Sides Rested!

Judge Div LF. Assisted
 IN the prosecution OF my CASE.

Judge Div LF. PIAHA
 County Superior Court Lacks —

GOOD MORAL FIBER, Education Knowledge
AND Experience. And' was Caught Lying

Because I was able to obtain the
Reporters TRANSCRIPT 9-14-11 BEFORE
IT WAS SANITIZED. please Review
THE Filed TRANSCRIPT. NOTABLY THE VICTIM
HAD Filed A CERTIFIED RESTITUTION ORDER FOR
~~0~~ dollars owed 5 months BEFORE TRIAL.

THE JUDGE Allowed A Stipulation to Court ~~IT~~ UNDER

Respectfully Submitted this 31 day of March
2012 ^{\$1000}

I certify the foregoing is true and correct
to the best of my knowledge!

To: Judicial Review Board 3/31/2012 APR 04 2012

Dearest Clark,

Praying that my Complaint is
accepted / Filed

And that you please provide
me a copy! I'm housed at Maxine
at A.D.S.C. Reception
Your help is greatly appreciated