

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-069

Complainant: No. 1437810878A

Judge: No. 1437810878B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge improperly allowed joint custody of his child.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the case history, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. The commission does not have jurisdiction to investigate the legal sufficiency of the judge's rulings. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 30, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on April 30, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

03-01-2012

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington St. Ste. # 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

To Whom It May Concern:

I wish to complain rigorously against Judge _____, who sits on the Maricopa County Family Court bench.

In my case (FC2007-_____ versus _____) this judge took my son and gave joint custody to the mother after she lost him two years ago for failure to show up in court because she could not pass a drug test, which she still cannot do.

When I got _____ back in December of 2009 we all thought he was severely retarded as he was almost three years old and could not speak, eat with utensils, do anything a three year old should do because he was being raised by his drugger mother and lived in the backs of cars, in one drug den after another in his first few years on this planet.

_____ is a completely different boy now thanks to a lot of people who worked with him, good teachers, therapists, his grandmother, extended family members, etc. He is smart and loves school and can't wait to go to school each day. (Now with a few weeks at his mother's place with no schooling and his mother constantly feeding him information that he doesn't need schooling as she wants to bind him to her as all drugger do with their children, he has slipped a great deal. The first few days of the week he is back with us, he is withdrawn and hesitant about everything, and then as the week progresses, he becomes his old self again.)

Judge _____ denied me my rights by not looking at the information that was presented on my behalf by my attorney. He went over everything I had to say with a fine tooth comb and glossed over everything she said in court, which were all lies. He did not question her on several issues which

were obvious lies and just took it on good faith (?) or because they had a previous agreement (?). It appeared that the case had been decided prior to the hearing which is not in any way in my son's best interests. I passed a hair follicle test and after bleaching and dying her hair for three weeks, she took a test two weeks late that was inconclusive, which should have been interpreted as positive. The judge failed to address the issue of her drug addiction, which is again not in the best interest of my child and I thought that was what family court was supposed to be about.

The mother knows ahead of me on every petition how the judge is deciding and tells me so. I seem to be up against a stacked deck and I demand to have my rights addressed, and this judge is not fit to sit this or any other bench.

Sincerely,