

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-044

Complainant: No. 1435910394A

Judge: No. 1435910394B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a justice of the peace improperly allowed a clerk to enter a default judgment without a hearing, and the judge threatened him.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: May 21, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed
to the complainant and the judge
on May 21, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2012-044**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:

Judge's name:

Date: 2/10/12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

1.1 NO HEARING WAS HEARD. DEFAULT STILL HAS TO HAVE A HEARING. CLERK CAN NOT MAKE JUDGMENT. CASE STARTS WHEN CITATION IS ISSUED - (28-1592) COURT CANNOT DENY ACCESS MINUTE ENTRY JAN 17

1.2 JUDGE HAS NO RIGHT TO HAVE ME ARRESTED OR THREATEN TO, FOR ASKING FOR APPEAL OR FOR HIM TO DO HIS JOB OR TO KNOW THE LAW

2.2 JUDGMENT WITH NO HEARING BY CLERK. NO DUE PROCESSES NO FURTHER MOTIONS. JUDGMENT AND RIGHT OF APPEAL NOT SENT TO DEFENDENT. GUILT WAS NOT THE QUESTION. TOOK PROCF IN TE JPI ON 5/24/11 BUT THE CLERKS WOULD NOT ACCEPT IT SAYING THEY HAD NO RECORDS YET

2.5 (A) 109 DAYS FOR JUDGMENT DONE BY CLERK
(B) WOULD NOT ACCEPT APPEAL

2.6 MOTION TO SET ASIDE, WOULD NOT HEAR ORAL ARGUMENT NO HEARING

2.9 (3) CLERK MADE JUDGMENT