

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-031

Complainant: No. 1434810309A

Judge: No. 1434810309B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a superior court judge repeatedly failed to rule or issued delayed rulings.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judge engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant and the judge's response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judge did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: April 4, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 4, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2012-031****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name:

_ Judge's name:

_ Date: 1/29/12

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

① Mtn to Dismiss filed in July by Counsel for Mother + verbally heard on 8/2/11, ~~was~~ ruling was deferred. Later listed many subsequent ME's as far as I could see. So we are way beyond 60 days.

② Petition to Establish Parenting + Support 8/09
Matter taken under advisement in November.
Court failed to issue a child support order that conforms w/ requirements

③ Petition to Estab. Custody + Access 2009
Taken under advisement in November. No parenting plan ever issued; no findings/orders that conform w/ requirements issued.

④ Mtns RE VISITS filed in Nov + Dec asking court set access schedule for mother. Court repeatedly "rules" that parents are to submit w/for court will give a specific schedule. No parenting in place. No complete visit schedule past 60 days.

⑤ Court/Judge has no idea what she is doing in the DR portion of the case. Not following Rules + statutory requirements.

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

⑥ Court is violating bright line rules/statutes re: time limits. Rule on Adjudication after 10 ms that shall be cancelled by 90 days.

PRAT
GO
DAYS