

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 12-024

Complainant: No. 1428210459A

Judge: No. 1428210459B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that several superior court judges violated his legal and constitutional rights.

The responsibility of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine if the judges engaged in conduct that violated the provisions of Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution or the Code of Judicial Conduct and, if so, to take appropriate disciplinary action. The purpose and authority of the commission is limited to this mission.

After reviewing the information provided by the complainant, along with various electronic court records, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct and concluded that the judges did not violate the Code in this case. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed in its entirety pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: March 6, 2012.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ George Riemer

George A. Riemer
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on March 6, 2012.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2012-024****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE****Your name:****Judge's name:****Date:** 1-17-12

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

ON 12-2-11, Judge VIOLATED TITLE 12, § 1785(3), by denying
Defendant equal protection of The Laws, and depriving Defendant
of equal privileges and immunities under The Laws, which is
GUARANTEED AND SECURED UNDER THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE
UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

by placing Defendant ON RULE 11.2.(2) EXAMINATION AGAINST
HIS WILL, IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA, Defendant IS ENTITLED
TO RIGHTS WHICH IS GUARANTEED AND SECURED TO Defendant
WITHIN THIS STATE AND TERRITORY.

Defendant NEVER SIGNED ANY PAPER'S IN AGREEMENT TO
SUCH RULE 11.2.(2) EXAMINATION. Defendant HAS BEEN DEPRIVE
OF HIS LIBERTY, BASE ON A DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS OF LAW, WITHIN
THIS STATE AND TERRITORY.

Judge AND PROSECUTOR,
PROSECUTOR, AND (Defendant) ATTORNEY

ALL AGREED by THE ACTION of Judge placing
Defendant IN RULE 11.2.(2) AGAINST HIS WILL. SEE
ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (A) WHICH IS AND WAS AGREED UPON by
Judge

(Attach additional sheets as needed)

Defendant , had received a
Citation # . COURT DATE ON 9-7-11.
 AT 9:00 A.M. Phoenix Municipal Court, 300 W.
 Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003.
 Which brought this case on #
Defendant was threatened by officer
 which Defendant call 911
 NON-EMERGENCY TO REPORT THIS "THREAT"
 EVERYTHING IS RECORD AT 1717 E. GRANT PHX, ARIZ
 85034. Public Record. CONTACT ().

CASE NO # . WAS DISMISS WITHOUT
Defendant HAVING THE RIGHT TO
 APPEAR AND DEFEND IN PERSON. THE STATE
 OF ARIZONA AND Judge and
 , County
 ATTORNEY, ATTORNEY VIOLATED
 TITLE 18. § 241. AND TITLE 42. § 1986. by depriving
Defendant of his Liberty, AND denying
 EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS THAT DEFENDANT
 IS ENTITLED TO UNDER THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH
 AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

Judge , ACTIONS VIOLATED TITLE 18. § 241.
 TO CAUSE DEFENDANT , TO BE OPPRESS, AND
 INJURED, BY PLACING DEFENDANT , ON RULE 11.2.(a)
 AGAINST HIS WILL. Judge , ACTIONS
 VIOLATED DEFENDANT RIGHTS UNDER THE
 FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES
 CONSTITUTION.

Judge , ACTIONS VIOLATED TITLE 42. § 1986.
 BY HAVING KNOWLEDGE THAT DEFENDANT , HAS
 BEEN DONE WRONG ON 12-2-11. AND HAVING THE
 POWER TO PREVENT IT, BUT NEGLECTS AND REFUSES
 TO DO SO. BY PLACING DEFENDANT , INTO
 RULE 11.2.(a) EXAMINATION AGAINST DEFENDANT
 , WILL. Judge , ACTIONS
 VIOLATED DEFENDANT RIGHTS UNDER THE
 FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES
 CONSTITUTION. DEFENDANT , NEVER SIGNED ANY
 FORMS, NOR AGREED TO BE PLACED ON RULE 11.2.(a)
 FOR ANY EXAMINATIONS TO BE DONE ON HIM.

Judge , ACTIONS VIOLATED DEFENDANT
 , FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT. BY ABRIDGING
 DEFENDANT , PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES, AND
 DEPRIVING DEFENDANT , OF HIS LIBERTY -
 WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW,

Judge _____, denied Defendant _____,
 within Judge _____, Jurisdiction, and the
 Equal Protection of the Laws.

Judge _____, failed her duty to protect
 Defendant _____, from any mistreatment from the
 State prosecutor's, also from Defendant Attorney
 on 12-2-11. from violating Defendant _____, Arizona
 State Constitution ART II § 2. ART II § 2.1.(b).
 ART II § 3. ART II § 4.

Judge _____, failed to keep Defendant _____,
 safe as a citizen of the UNITED STATES. which
 violated Defendant _____, EIGHTH AMENDMENT TO
 THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. AND CAUSING
 Defendant _____ suffering and emotional distress.

Judge _____ has corruptly exercise her
 function. by ordering that a citizen, Defendant
 _____ may be place in Rule 11.2.(a) for
 examination unlawfully against Defendant
 will. when the fourteenth amendment clearly
 states in part:

THAT NO STATE SHALL MAKE OR ENFORCE ANY LAW WHICH SHALL ABRIDGE THE PRIVILEGES OF CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES, NOR SHALL ANY STATE DEPRIVE ANY PERSON OF LIFE, LIBERTY, OR PROPERTY, "WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW," NOR DENY TO ANY PERSON WITHIN IT'S JURISDICTION THE EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.

Judge , ACTIONS VIOLATED DEFENDANT , RIGHTS UNDER THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION, AND CAUSED DEFENDANT SUFFERING, AND EMOTIONAL DISTRESS. SEE ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (B).

Judge , CANNOT ESCAPE CRIMINAL LIABILITY TO THE UNITED STATES FOR THE CONSPIRACY, AND IT'S NATURAL AND DESIGNED RESULTS IN THE HOLDING OF A CITIZEN - DEFENDANT , TO A "CONDITION OF PEONAGE" BECAUSE OF THE OFFICIAL CHARACTER OF JUDGE ACTIONS.

Judge , AND PROSECUTOR'S , AND ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT CONSPIRE TO EFFECT SUCH

purpose by FALSE ACCUSATIONS, THEY ARE
GUILTY, UNDER UNITED STATES REVISED STATUTES
§ 5508 TITLE 18, U.S.C.A. § 51. DEFENDANT
IS A CITIZEN OF THE UNITED STATES,

Judge , DEPRIVE DEFENDANT
OF THE FREE EXERCISE AND ENJOYMENT OF A
RIGHT AND PRIVILEGE SECURED TO DEFENDANT
BY THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED
STATES. A LIBERTY INTEREST (DUE PROCESS OF LAW), VIOLATION.

Judge , HAS RESTRAINED DEFENDANT
OF HIS LIBERTY AND COMPELLED DEFENDANT
TO RULE 11.2.(d) EXAMINATION AGAINST HIS
WILL, WITHOUT DEFENDANT , SIGNING ANY
FORMS AS TO THIS AGREEMENT. GIVEN ANOTHER THE
RIGHT TO EXACT SUCH SERVITUDE IS INVALID
UNDER THE LAW. AND TREATED AS THOUGH
MADE INVOLUNTARILY, AND AFFORDS THE JUDGE
NO PROTECTION.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2012-024****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Date: 1-21-12

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON 11-22-11, Judge had ACKNOWLEDGED THAT DEFENDANT
had mailed to Judge ON 11-22-11, A LETTER WHICH ADVISED
Judge THAT DEFENDANT HAS BEEN "THREATENED" by
THE ARRESTING OFFICER ON AUG 28, 2011. SEE ATTACHED AS
EXHIBIT (A).

According to the ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION
ARTICLE II § 2. ARTICLE II § 2.1.(2). ARTICLE II § 3. ARTICLE II § 4.
Judge VIOLATED DEFENDANT STATE AND FEDERAL
CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. by ACTION'S of NEGLECT TO prevent, by
FAILING TO PROTECT AND MAINTAIN DEFENDANT INDIVIDUAL
RIGHTS. Defendant IS THE "VICTIM" of A CRIME.

ONCE Judge BECAME AWARE THAT
Defendant IS THE "VICTIM". Judge failed to
INVESTIGATE THE SITUATION AS TO THE FACTS. Which Judge
having acknowledged ON 11-22-11, IN OPEN COURT ON
THE RECORDED-SEE 11-22-11. TRANSCRIPTS. FROM COURT.

(It's no deference when A Judge, ASKED when ENTERING A
pled -- HAVE ANYONE FORCE you, COERCED you, THREATEN you TO
INTER INTO THIS plea). It's the same when Defendant
Told Judge Through A form of LETTER'S. ABOUT THIS
"THREAT", THE STATE HAS A SUBSTANTIAL INTEREST IN
HELPING VICTIMS of CRIME. Defendant IS THE TRUE
"VICTIM" of A CRIME. Which has gone UNHEARD by Judge
Which VIOLATED TITLE 42, § 1985(3) AND TITLE 42, § 1986

(Attach additional sheets as needed.)

IN 1990, THE CITIZEN OF ARIZONA ADOPTED A VICTIMS BILL OF RIGHTS AS ARTICLE II §2.1(2) OF ARIZONA CONSTITUTION. THE ENACTMENT DEMONSTRATE THE IMPORTANCE THE PUBLIC ATTRIBUTES TO THE STATES EFFORTS TO HELP "VICTIMS" OF CRIME.

IN THE ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION ON PAGE (39) ARTICLE VI §26. (OATH of office) SECTION 26. STATES IN PART:

EACH JUSTICE, JUDGE AND JUDGE OF THE PEACE SHALL, BEFORE ENTERING UPON THE DUTIES OF HIS OFFICE, TAKE AND SUBSCRIBE AN OATH THAT HE WILL "SUPPORT" THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES AND THE CONSTITUTION OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA, —

WHICH JUDGE [redacted] FAILED TO DO, JUDGE [redacted], VIOLATED TITLE 42. §1985(3), CONSPIRACY TO INTERFERE WITH CIVIL RIGHTS. AND TITLE 42. §1986. ACTION TO PREVENT.

JUDGE [redacted], ALSO VIOLATED THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT RULE 1.1. COMPLIANCE WITH THE LAW STATES: A JUDGE SHALL COMPLY WITH THE LAW, INCLUDING THE CODE OF JUDICIAL CONDUCT.

JUDGE [redacted] FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE LAW, PLUS FAILED TO SUPPORT, AS SUBSCRIBED BY OATH, THAT JUDGE [redacted] WILL SUPPORT THE TWO CONSTITUTIONS.

ON 11-6-11, WAS ANOTHER LETTER RECEIVED FROM DEFENDANT [redacted] TO JUDGE [redacted] WHICH JUDGE [redacted] HAS ACKNOWLEDGED THE CONTENT OF DEFENDANT [redacted] STATEMENTS. SEE ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (B).

THE CONSTITUTION AND THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES WHICH SHALL BE MADE, ... UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE UNITED STATES, SHALL BE THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND, AND THE JUDGES IN EVERY STATE SHALL BE BOUND THEREBY, JUDGE [redacted] WAS OPERATING WITHOUT JURISDICTION TO RENDER JUDGMENT ON DEFENDANT [redacted] ON 11-22-11. WITHOUT FIRST BEING HEARD ON CASE NO. [redacted] WHICH WAS THE FIRST INITIAL CONTACT WHICH THE (911 NON-EMERGENCY CALL PROVES IT). DEFENDANT [redacted] WAS "THREATENED" FOR NOT WANTING TO SIGN THE CITATION. [redacted] WHICH IS A (SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION) ISSUE, THERE IS A RECORD OF THIS "THREAT" THREAT OFFICER [redacted] MADE ON DEFENDANT [redacted] ON AUG 28, 2011 TELL IN MY CELL PHONE, PLUS AT 1717 E. GRANT. PUBLIC RECORD, SEE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, AS ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (C).

CONFIDENTIAL

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Your Name:

Judge's Name:

Date: 1-21-12

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON 1-12-12, Judge RENDER A RULING Judge-
MENT ON RULE 11.2, TO RESTORATION PROGRAM AGAINST
Defendant Will - Judge WAS
OPERATING HIS FUNCTION AS Judge WITHOUT JURISDICTION
THE Superior COURT DOESN'T HAVE JURISDICTION OVER THIS
MATTER. BASE ON Defendant , had RECEIVED A
CITATION# on Aug 28, 2011. AND had Refused
TO SIGN THE CITATION, when officer Threat
EN Defendant , IN which Defendant , CALLED
911 NON-EMERGENCY TO REPORT THIS THREAT. THE
Record of Such STATEMENT IS IN my cell Phone, AND
AT Phoenix Public Records 1717 E. GRANT Phx ARIZ 85034.
Phoenix Municipal Court, 300 W. WASHINGTON STREET,
Phx, ARIZ, 85003, HAS ORIGINAL JURISDICTION, TO THE
CASE first. -for AND
THIS IS where Defendant , WAS THREATENED, which
MAKES Judge Judgement Voided. Judge
TOOK AND Subscribe AND OATH THAT he will
SUPPORT both CONSTITUTIONS, plus Comply with the LAW.
Defendant IS THE TRUE VICTIM" of a CRIME

THAT'S GOING UNHEARD. THE STATE HAS A
SUBSTANTIAL INTEREST IN helping VICTIMS of CRIME. THE
STATE'S EFFORTS IS TO help "VICTIMS" of A CRIME.

Defendant HAS A Liberty INTEREST IN HIS
DUE PROCESS OF LAW RIGHTS, WHICH IS VIOLATED
BY THE STATE OF ARIZONA. ON 1-12-12 Defendant
SO-CALL ATTORNEY ; ADVISED Judge
THAT Defendant (wants to withdraw
from this Rule 11). IT'S AGAINST HIS WILL. That's
VIOLATING THE ARIZONA STATE CONSTITUTION ARTS 2.
ART 5 2.1(6). ART 5 3. ART 5 4. AND THE 5TH, 14TH
AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.
Defendant HAS RIGHTS THAT'S BEEN VIOLATED ON
Aug 28, 2011. PROOF IS THE 911-NON-EMERGENCY CALL THAT
WENT OUT REPORTING A "THREAT" THAT OFFICER
MADE ON Defendant THE JUDGE IS ONLY
GUILTY IF Judge DON'T RETRACK HIS RENDERED
JUDGEMENT OF 1-12-12. TITLE 42, § 1986. ACTION FOR
NEGLECT TO PREVENT. AND IF NOT, THE JUDGE
IS PRACTICING FROM THE BENCH CORRUPTLY EXERCISES HIS
FUNCTIONS IN ORDER THAT A CITIZEN MAY BE HELD UNLAWFULLY,
AND KEPT IN Rule 11, AGAINST Defendant WILL.
THIS IS A FORM OF "CONDITION OF PEONAGE" THAT'S BEING
PRACTICE IN THE UNITED STATES, BECAUSE Defendant HAS
NOT AGREED TO ANY FORM OF Rule 11. EXAMINATION TO BE
DONE ON HIM.

SUCH MAGISTRATE CANNOT ESCAPE CRIMINAL
LIABILITY TO THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
CONSPIRACY, AND ITS NATURAL AND DESIGNED
RESULTS IN THE HOLDING OF A CITIZEN TO A
CONDITION OF PEONAGE, BECAUSE OF THE
OFFICIAL CHARACTER OF HIS ACTS.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Date: 1-23-12

Instructions: Describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes misconduct. Please provide all of the important names, dates, times, and places related to your complaint. You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to explain your complaint, and you may attach additional pages. Do not write on the back of any page. You may attach copies of any documents you believe will help us understand your complaint.

ON 9-8-11, Judge VIOLATED TITLE 42, 1985 (3)
by DENYING Defendant THE EQUAL protection of the LAWS,
AND EQUAL PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES UNDER THE LAWS;
DEPRIVE Defendant from EXERCISING HER ARIZONA STATE
CONSTITUTIONAL Right, ART 2. ART 2.1(2). THE STATE HAS A
SUBSTANTIAL INTEREST IN HELPING VICTIMS OF CRIME. IN 1990,
THE CITIZENS OF ARIZONA ADOPTED A VICTIMS' Bill of Rights AS
ART II § 2.1. OF THE ARIZONA CONSTITUTION. THE ENACTMENT
DEMONSTRATE THE IMPORTANCE THE PUBLIC ATTRIBUTES TO THE
STATE'S EFFORTS TO help VICTIMS" OF CRIME.

Defendant Judge had ACKNOWLEDGE
THAT Defendant , BEEN "THREATENED" under The code
of Judicial Conduct, STATES ON page (6) Rule 1.1, compliance
with the LAW. WHICH IS STATED, ON THE COURT TRANSCRIPT 9-8-11.

A Judge shall comply with the LAW, INCLUDING the code
of Judicial conduct.

Judge, , ALSO VIOLATED TITLE 42, §1996
by HAVING THE power TO PREVENT, NEGLECTS AND REFUSE SO
TO do, by HAVING knowledge that Defendant , WAS
THREATENED AND failed TO ACT.

Judge, HAS CORRUPTLY EXERCISE his function,
by OPERATING without Jurisdiction, Defendant had
RECEIVE A CITATION* CASE NUMBER* THAT WAS
ON 9-7-11; AT 9:00 A.M. AT THE Phoenix Municipal Court
300 W. WASHINGTON STREET, PHX, ARIZ. 85003. Defendant
had Requested M.C.S.O. Sheriff's THAT Defendant
HAS A COURT DATE. Judge, HAVING KNOWLEDGE
of THAT FACT AN CIRCUMSTANCE, by HAVING IN his possession
DR-Report# 2011- , ON PAGE#7 AT THE VERY
TOP PAGE. STATES COURT date AT 9-7-11 AT 9:00 A.M.
SEE ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (A).

SIXTH AMENDMENT STATES IN PART:

IN "ALL" CRIMINAL PROSECUTIONS, THE ACCUSED "SHALL" ENJOY
THE RIGHT;

THE KEY WORDS IN THE EMPHASIZED ARE "ALL" AND "SHALL".

"ALL" IMPLIES THAT THERE IS MORE THEN ONE CRIMINAL
JURISDICTION. "SHALL" MAKES THE ENJOYMENT OF THE RIGHT
TO BE INFORMED MANDATORY. HAS BEEN VIOLATED ON
9-8-11. THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT CITATION*
WAS THE FIRST PROBLEM IS THAT IT WAS SIGNED UNDER A
"THREAT", DEFENDANT TRIED HIMSELF TO OBTAIN A COPY OF

HIS CITATION FOR HIS CRIMINAL CASE ASWELL FOR MY CIVIL
CASE, WHICH STEAMED FROM THE CITATION*. DEFENDANT
HAD ATTEMPTED TO OBTAIN HIS PRELIMINARY HEARING
TRANSCRIPT, SEE ATTACHED AS EXHIBIT (B).

Judge CANNOT ESCAPE CRIMINAL LIABILITY TO THE UNITED
STATES FOR THE CONSPIRACY, IN HOLDING DEFENDANT IN A "CONDITION
OF PEONAGE" by DENYING DEFENDANT EQUAL PROTECTION OF THE LAWS.