

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-249

Complainant: No. 1401810791A

Judge: No. 1401810791B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that two superior court judges violated his constitutional rights, failed to address his motions on a timely basis, and engaged in or failed to prevent a “cover up” involving audio and video recordings that were necessary to prove his case. After carefully analyzing the allegations and reviewing the court record along with the judge’s response, the commission found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of either judge. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: November 23, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on November 23, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

COMPLAINT

2010-249

SEP 29 2010

9-14-10

RE: Judge

ON 8-7-10. Judge Signed
A WARRANT FOR DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT OF
THE TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT TO REMOVE
DNA FROM MY PERSON.

HOWEVER, THIS ALLEGED REMOVAL OF
DNA WAS A PLOY - IN ORDER TO SEE MY
FACE AND TEETH FEATURES.

AND THE GRANDJURY WOULD NOT INDICT
ME ON THE FAILURE TO REGISTER CHARGE, FOR
WHICH THE DETECTIVE USED TO ARREST ME
SO HE COULD COMPARE MY FACIAL FEATURES
TO THE ROBBERY CHARGE.

Judge IS A FORMER
PROSECUTOR WHO HAS WORKED HAND IN
HAND WITH DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT IN THE
PAST ON CASES TOGETHER. SHE SHOULD NOT
BE ALLOWED TO RUBBER STAMP DETECTIVE
BRAD HUNT'S ILLEGAL METHODS, IT SEEMS
THAT MOST JUDGES IN THE JUDICIARY ALLOW
DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT TO VIOLATE DEFENDANTS

CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, AS WELL AS TO ARREST DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE, AND COMMIT PURJURY TO A GRAND JURY FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF HOLDING THAT DEFENDANT OVER UNTIL THEY CAN REINDICT HIM WITH OTHER EVIDENCE.

My CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS ARE BEING STEPPED ON BECAUSE JUDGES LIKE TERESA BODUY GIVE DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT WARRANTS THAT THEY DON'T BOTHER TO QUESTION, BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT WILL FABRICATE, LIE, OR DO WHAT HE HAS TO IN ORDER TO GET A CONVICTION.

IS NOT IMPARTIAL

SHE JUST FINISHED WORKING SIDE BY SIDE WITH DETECTIVE HUNT IN THE PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE.

P.O. BOX 951

TUCSON, AZ 85702

I THINK SOMEONE IN THE JUDICIARY OWES TUCSON POLICE DEPARTMENT SOME FAVORS, I CAN'T PROVE THAT BUT I CAN PROVE THESE ALLEGATIONS.

COMPLAINT

9-14-10

2010-249

SEP 29 2010

RE: Judge

ON 8-25-10. I FILED SEVERAL MOTIONS WITH THE CLERKS OFFICE, TO JUDGE ADVISING THE COURT THAT I NEEDED SOME PHONE CONVERSATIONS & VIDEOTAPE FOOTAGE PRESERVED FROM THE COUNTY JAIL, AS IT IS EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE, AGAINST DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT IT REVEALS THAT DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT INTENTIONALLY FILED A FALSE POLICE REPORT AGAINST ME FOR FAILURE TO REGISTER, SO THAT HE COULD, ARREST ME, & INVESTIGATE ME FOR ROBBERY.

THE PHONE CONVERSATIONS REVEALED THAT I'VE FILED SEVERAL MOTIONS SINCE THEN ADVISING THE COURT, THAT I NEEDED TO BE HEARD ON THIS MATTER BEFORE THE ~~TAPES~~ RECORDINGS AND VIDEOTAPE ARE DESTROYED, THE TAPES & RECORDINGS WILL BE DESTROYED ON THE 5TH OF OCTOBER. THE COURT OR JUDGE HAVE YET TO CALL ME IN ON THIS MATTER. AGAIN THE JUDGE AND JUDICIARY APPEAR TO BE ATTEMPTING TO PROTECT THE DETECTIVE BRAD HUNT'S ILLEGAL ACTS BY DENYING ME THE RIGHT TO PRESENT

EVIDENCE THAT IS EXCULPATORY, AT MY HEARINGS
AND TRIALS.

There Are over (5) Documented Filed
MOTIONS Requesting This Evidence Since August
25th. AND UP TO THIS DATE I'V NOT BEEN CALLED
INTO THE COURT TO BE HEARD ON THE MOTIONS

Respectfully Submitted This 15th DAY
OF September, 20 more DAYS & I'll LOOSE
MY EVIDENCE. THIS IS NOT AN ACCIDENT IT'S
DELIBERATE ON THE JUDICIARY.

P.O. Box 951

Tucson, AZ 85702

THIS IS HOW INDIGENT DEFENDANTS ARE
TREATED IN PIMA COUNTY, AND THIS
IS WHY I HAVE SO MANY CONVICTIONS