

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-213

Complainant: No. 1398510804A

Judge: No. 1398510804B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a municipal court judge failed to disqualify himself, made erroneous legal rulings, invented new laws, and threatened to impose fines and criminal charges. The allegations did not raise any issues involving ethical misconduct but instead consisted of disagreements with the judge's rulings. The commission is not a court and cannot change judicial decisions. Accordingly, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: October 15, 2010

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 15, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona

Commission on Judicial Conduct

1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229

Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2010-213**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name: _____

Judge's name: _____

Date: 8/25/10

Instructions: You can use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Please describe in your own words what the judge did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places that will help us understand your concerns. You may attach additional pages but not original court documents. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

See Attached Appellant's Memorandum ("Brief") for full evidence & story of trial events. "Complaint" Counts: (p.1) Mr. Harding City Code Enforcement and under oath admitted his authority was interoffice "memo" (p.2) He admits "Complaint" is False: I don't have any "commercial vehicles" (p.5) & I have "play equipment", the exception to the L.U.C. (p.2) (p.7) (p.8) Hard was denied access to my property without warrant so violates U.S. 4th Amendment & takes satellite pictures (Supplement Brief). ^{shifting} denies me for directed verdict obligation burden to Defense. City cited L.U.C. for R-2 in R-1 of my house on vehicles with nothing in code about any vehicle violation of Ar + US Constitution re: specificity of law - so 21st makes up new law (p.2) & (p.9). Abstracts defense & refuses to hear 2 MVD officials (p.12) (p.13) denies due process, 21st threatens me to accept his deal ^(p.14) on City's with threat of daily fines & criminal charges for having a jungle gym I had & proved permission to have nearly 2 decades. And for my motor homes (one replacing the other) (p.3). ignores FACT evidence I had permission from city to have jungle gym (p.4) ^(p.10) I gave City settlement deal they accepted after trial that Pat Dehakeff said she'd do at trial end "absolutely". 3 days later she reneged. Deal was I could keep all 3 items - move them back on property some. 21st said I refused to make deal. (p.4) Hard said he investigated Complaint 3 months after serving it. (p.5) 4 MVD officials say my vehicles can remain "private" (p.14-18). 21st abstracts that. Prosecution argues "memo" into vehicles charge (p.16). (p.17) 21st denies shifting burden while claiming he listened to all evidence. He then alters Complaint (p.18) & alters "play equipment" exception in L.U.C. (p.19). Reads "memo" into decision as "new law" Legislating from bench & finds me guilty of "memo" charge ^(Attach additional sheets as needed) R-2 LUC for R-1 property. Case Law p 20-25.

P. 3 of Lockhart v. 21st Nov. 8/25/10

2010-213

In second case (Traffic ticket) I ask him
21st Nov to Recuse himself because he
attempting to get city to bring back
criminal charges in case before him. He
denies any such event. I impeach by the
record. So he's lied & influenced yet
another case.

I Filed Appeal. At same time case was
ON Appeal. has ordering me to repeatedly
to show up for compliance hearings for
months until he gave that up - intimidation.

On Appeal Upper Ct. Miller remanded it to
for written decision asking for
fact and Law foundation for 21st Nov not to
hear evidence from MWD officials and
for 21st Nov to hold me under ^{threat of} daily fines &
criminal charges. No response to that
by other than his ignoring as he
chose to do City theory & making up
B747 theory to which he found me
guilty. No B747 is mentioned in R-2
LUC cited, either. Guilty by 'memo' theory
and by B747 theory of Judge and his
theory that swings don't swing or are not
attached to wood of jungle gym theory.
Prosecutor Mills took appeal (lots violations)
delayed to get rid of ~~the~~ Judge Miller

and got Judge Staring, who ~~to~~ after 2 months + 2 Reams of paper on file decided for me on Jungle Gym + for Zlaton on R-2 violation in R-1 with nothing about vehicles, motor homes, commercial vehicles, or B747's.

See: Removal Order + Zlaton's ^{written} ~~response~~ decision in Appellant's Supplemental Memorandum.

Zlaton again out of jurisdiction has ordered compliance hearing(s) while case is still on appeal in Judge Staring's Ct. I've informed him he doesn't have jurisdiction by pleading + his recent Order attached shows it doesn't matter to him. I'm still facing his threats.