

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-108

Complainant: No. 1391710368A

Judge: No. 1391710368B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a superior court commissioner was biased and failed to consider his evidence. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the commissioner. Legal court rulings are outside the jurisdiction of the commission and more appropriately addressed on appeal. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 14, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 14, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2010-108****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Your name: _____ Judge's name: _____ Date: 04.27.10

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Attach additional pages, as needed. Please describe in your own words what the judge said or did that you believe constitutes judicial misconduct. To help us understand your concern, be specific and list all of the names, dates, times and places where the conduct occurred. Include only copies of original documents or court recordings that are relevant to your allegations. Print or type on one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your files.

I AM CURRENTLY IN A BATTLE OVER MY 3 1/2 YEAR OLD DAUGHTER WITH MY EX-GIRLFRIEND. SHE FIRED SEXUAL ABUSE CHARGES FOR THE 4TH TIME ON APRIL 8TH 2010. SIGNED THE RESTRAINING ORDER FOR MY X. WE WERE GIVEN A COURT DATE OF 04.26.10 AT 13:30PM. AFTER WE SAT DOWN THE JUDGE ASKED MY X-GIRLFRIENDS SIDE OF THE STORY. HE THEN TURNED TO ME FOR MY SIDE. AS I PROVIDED EVIDENCE FOR THE 4TH TIME STATING CONTRARY TO THESE ALLEGATIONS THE JUDGE DIDNT EVEN LISTEN. AFTER IGNORING THE FACT THAT THE RESTRAINING ORDER WAS SUPPOSED TO BE DISMISSED AFTER INVESTIGATIONS WERE COMPLETE HE TURNED TO MY EX TO ASK HOW SHE WOULD FEEL COMFORTABLE GOING FORWARD. SHE STATED SHE WANTED TO SUPERVISE MY VISITS WITH MY DAUGHTER. JUDGE AGREED AND TOLD ME IT WASN'T NECESSARY FOR ME TO BRING MY OWN WITNESS TO THE MEETINGS. MY EX FEEL 'INTIMIDATED' BY ALL MY EXTENDED FAMILY MEMBERS LEAVING ME TO HAVE TO PAY FOR THIS SERVICE, WHICH I CANNOT DO. THEN HE MODIFIED A PARENTING ORDER SET BY THE HONORABLE BRUCE COHEN ON 11.23.09 GIVING ME ONLY 4 HOURS A WEEK NIGHT NOW. THE JUDGE ALSO AGREED WITHOUT SEEING A DVD OF MY EX GIRLFRIEND TALKING WITH MY DAUGHTER OF THE SAME ALLEGATIONS DESPITE C.P.S. ; PINAL COUNTY SHERIFF DEPARTMENT CONCLUDING THEIR INVESTIGATIONS AS UNFOUNDED BECAUSE ONCE AGAIN THERE IS NO EVIDENCE TO CORROBORATE MY EX'S STORY. HE BASED HIS DECISION ON HERESAY AND NOT FACTUAL EVIDENCE. HE IS SUPPOSED TO STAY IMPARTIAL. HE IS ALSO NOT SUPPOSED TO MODIFY PARENTING SCHEDULE ASSIGNED TO ANOTHER JUDGE. MY DAUGHTER HAS SEEN 4 MEDICAL DOCTORS. 3 POLICE DEPARTMENTS. 1 C.P.S. SPECIALIST, 2 FORENSIC INTERVIEWERS FROM WHICH NONE OF THE REPORTS I HAVE SUPPORT THESE ALLEGATIONS WARRANTING A RESTRAINING ORDER. HE HAS ENABLED MY EX GIRLFRIEND TO POSSIBLY HARM MY DAUGHTER EMOTIONALLY / MENTALLY BECAUSE HE DID NOT LISTEN TO EVIDENCE!!

(Attach additional sheets as needed)