

September 1, 2010

CONFIDENTIAL

Buckeye, AZ 85326-0001

Re: Case No.

Dear Mr. _____ :

The Commission on Judicial Conduct reviewed the complaints filed against you by _____ and _____ and decided to dismiss both of them with a letter.

In the first complaint, Ms. _____ contends that you misrepresented your qualifications as a justice of the peace on your campaign web site materials. After analyzing the allegations and your response, the commission concluded that you may have been a little vague, or perhaps misleading, in describing how much of your experience actually related to your service as a pro tem judge. If you had won the election, the commission might have investigated this matter further, but since you did not, it saw no need to pursue this matter further.

In the second complaint, Ms. _____ alleged that you had advised her that she could sign more than one nominating petition. The commission concluded that the allegation was unfounded and dismissed the complaint. Even if it had been true, the commission probably lacked the necessary jurisdiction to deal with this issue.

Sincerely,

E. Keith Stott, Jr.
Executive Director

EKS:ck

April 12, 2010

Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street Ste. 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

To whom it may concern:

I am a registered voter residing within the Estrella Mountain Justice Court Precinct. I have been following the race for the upcoming election for Justice of the Peace within my precinct. Upon reviewing a website for candidate I noted on four separate pages he claims to be a Justice of the Peace, Pro Tem. On one page, Mr. claims to be a Pro Tem in five separate courts.

I spent some time researching this claim and cannot locate any documentation to support this claim. I quickly located Maricopa County Superior Court Order # 2009- (see attached) which names the appointed Pro Tems approved by Presiding Judge for the current year. Mr. is not on this list.

I then located a list of the appointed Pro Tem's for January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2009. Again, is not on this list.

On the VS Other Candidates page of his website, Mr. not only claims that he is a Pro Tem, but also omit's the fact the one of his opponents is. On both the 2009 and 2010 lists available from the Maricopa County Superior Court and submitted with my complaint, Mrs. is clearly a Pro Tem and Mr. is not. His claim to hold a position he does not and accusation that his opponent does not hold a position which she does and which is easily verifiable, is in direct violation of Rule 4.3, Sections (A), (F) and (I) of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.

Respectfully,