

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-097

Complainant: No. 1390610754A

Judge: No. 1390610754B

ORDER

The complainant alleged a justice of the peace improperly denied her requests for change of judge and a cost bond waiver. The commission reviewed the matter and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The statute under which the complainant sought a change of judge applies to superior court cases, not justice court cases. The order denying the request is consistent with the law and does not reflect bias. The fact that the judge ruled promptly on the cost bond waiver request is not evidence of bias. Without more, there is insufficient evidence to justify further investigation. The complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23.

Dated: June 11, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

\s\ Keith Stott

Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on June 11, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

ARIZONA JUDICIAL COMPLAINT
 Commission Of Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007
 602-542-5200 Phone 602-452-3652 Fax

April 14, 2010

JUDICAL COMPLAINT

JUDGE NAME : (Justice Of The Peace)

LOCATION : DESERT RIDGE JUSTICE COURT, 18380 N. 40TH St. , Suite 130,
 Phoenix, Arizona 85032 .

Yes, I have a case before this judge. Case No. CC2009- (on appeal)

Names of Defendant Attorney -Michael Wawro (For The City Of Peoria).

Names of witnesses who observed judge conduct :

NATURE OF JUDICAL COMPLAINT

I am filing this complaint because Judge has a conflict of interest in this case . He is unjust, biased, and does not have impartiality. He is not following the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. He is making up rules as he goes along. His rulings are inconsistent and spurious. He is denying my rights to due process of the law, my right to appeal. He has no legal knowledge or schooling. I have filed for a change of judge for cause. See attached. He does not know or understand the difference between an affidavit and a objection, nor does he understand the difference between change of venue and change of judge for cause. He is just plain stupid and should not be on the bench passing judgment and making rulings. In fact, he has denied my motion for change of judge for cause, which is illegal, as he can not make this ruling, as it has to be made by a presiding justice. See attached. He has spurious rulings regarding a cost bond wavier in this case, defendant entitlement to a cost bond. He is prejudiced against me, because I sued the City of Peoria over a injury they caused to me. He favors the Defendant Attorney and will not listen nor read or review anything including motions I file. I am trying to appeal my case, and he is trying to deny me due process of the law, by making it unbearable for me to appeal my case. He makes rulings on motions not even filed in the case and not listed on the docket, such as the enlargement of time for memoranda.

1.

Judge portrays himself as a caring, fair , impartial judge, but what really lies beneath his black robe is bias , injustice, egotism and stupidity. He has no legal knowledge at all. I do not want this type of this ignorant, bigot on my case making rulings. He is all for the Defendants in this case. It was an injury accident caused by a City of Peoria employee. The City of Peoria has refused to pay my doctor bills and other damages. Of coarse, this has nothing to do with his career as a 30year business owner and a divorced father with 6 children and 21 grandchildren.

I charge judge with willful misconduct while in office, violation of the code of judicial conduct, willful and persistent failure to perform duties, violation of the code of judicial conduct, and conduct that brings the judiciary into disrepute. Judge Clancy has failed to uphold the integrity and independence of the judiciary, has not avoided impropriety and the appearance of impropriety in all the judge's activities, and he has not performed the duties of his judicial office with impartially and diligently.

He has refused to clarify or organize my case. My case is in a shambles from Court transfer to Judge court due to corruption, conflict of interest and racketeering, I just want the judge to follow the written laws of civil procedure, and be impartial and unbiased. You are now adding, abetting and obstructing an officer of the Supreme Court.

I affirm under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing information and the allegations contained in the attached complaint are truthful and to the best of my knowledge.

Sincerely,