

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 10-040

Complainant: No. 1369510087A

Judge: No. 1369510087B

ORDER

The complainant alleged that a judge made improper rulings. The commission reviewed the complaint and found no evidence of ethical misconduct on the part of the judge. The commission is not a court and cannot change court decisions. Therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a).

Dated: April 21, 2010.

FOR THE COMMISSION

/s/ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on April 21, 2010.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

February 7, 2010

COMPLAINT AGAINST J.P.

AT NORTH MESA JUSTICE COURT

Case Number:

On January 8, 2010 a "kangaroo court", the North Mesa Justice Court, had a trial under the direct leadership of "pretending to be the Judge for the North Mesa Justice Court". The meetings that led to the trial (status conference), had interruptions that were granted by this old cowboy "elected by the mormons" delaying the trial several months. For instance, He allowed the **amoral defense attorney Pari Scroggins** relief "giving her a month extra time" because her son had neurological problems and she could not get any other attorney to cover for her. We the people call this a personal problem and these kind of problems should not be tolerated by an impartial and fair judge. Furthermore, The amoral defense lady attorney kept on saying "we claim we are liable" as an offense as if those words shall be enough to satisfy her clients negligent action. It worked on this cowboy judge. Then, we came to trial on another occasion and the judge clearly blamed Maricopa county why the jury did not show and he again delayed the trial another month. He never took responsibility but blamed others for their errors. This I compare to the George Bush Jr. style of leadership. Nevertheless, after going through the East Mesa Justice Court, I figured this man to be a reasonable, fair, and a fit judge. **I admit herein I couldn't of been more wrong.**

Even though the defense plead negligent and Darlene Hardy was cited at the scene 100% her fault, the stupid cowboy judge still **stoled** my 1996 Toyota Celica from me without me receiving **one red penny** and the defense offering absolutely **no remorse**. His claim will be **legality over right vs. wrong** and that is exactly why he is crazy and a very dangerous person who the people cannot rely on. This JP is a **communist** and the defense attorney works for communist (**Gainsco Insurance Company**) making her a amoral communist. One interesting fact is Gainsco Insurance Company is composed almost 100% of the Spanish ethnic groups. Call their directory you will get sick when you listen to how stupid their agents sound and probably are. **Communist?** Legality has always been the most important **priority** in a communistic country. This judge receive pay from the taxpayer but he will say he was elected. By whom? Mormons who populate mesa but are minority as a whole. He a communist but he will never admit it. Anyhow, we shall come back to this as the reason why this injustice happened in the justice system.

Trial on 01/08/10

The Jury elects finally show up before 8 a.m. on Friday morning on 01/08/10. J.P. calls the court into session and the idiot gave a big speech about how great America is and how great our justice system is because of the jury system. **I agree** it can be great but what

happened herein proves just how crazy it can become. The judge elects the jury by a lotto system, fine with me, and he dismisses the rest to go home. He begins with opening arguments. Here he errors because he knows that a party is not present, **Melvin Taylor Jr.**, and he ask me to begin with the opening arguments in front of the jury. He knows from the last court date that was cancelled because the jury did not show up that I wanted to default Melvin Taylor Jr. (a defendant party) for not showing at trial. He on purpose ignored this fact and proceeded by putting pressure on me to begin opening arguments. Therefore, Melvin Taylor Jr. was never defaulted and we never could discuss the concept of **negligent entrustment** which the judge knew I needed Melvin Taylor Jr. testimony to prove. The defendant that caused my car to be totaled is **epileptic** and probably had an epileptic seizure causing her crazy driving. Nevertheless, I moved forward and presented to the jury opening argument. Pari Scroggins for the defense, interrupted my opening argument and this fuckup judge sustained her objection. In fact, he sustained every one of them and started to reveal his true colors so to speak as the trial proceeded (He was in bed so to speak with Pari Scroggins). I couldn't believe it. He was so bad he would not even look at me and confirmed every solution with the defense. **Terrible behavior at best.** Anyhow, the defense and I finished opening arguments, and I proceeded to call my witness and testify on my own behalf concerning the car. I made clear through my witness and my receipts I paid \$4,000 for the vehicle six month prior to the accident and I spent \$1,250 on the car to make it better. This I used to **prove Value.**

Right after I finished the judge gave the floor to the defense and she started directly in with **Directed Verdict** to the cowboy who bought her crazy argument that I did not prove Value therefore value is \$0. Bullshit, I did prove value but not accordingly to the politics within your fucked system (legality and book value). Therefore, the judge agreed with her again and said she only had to put her witness on the stand and he would prove value and he would give her directed verdict stealing my car forever. Her witness never drove or had seen my car before it was wrecked and he came to say "my car was worth a \$1,000 and I get \$400 plus for loss use. He also testified to tearing my vehicle a part showing there was no air bag. Here, I started to ask who was paying him? He would not answer the question and the judge again sustained the defenses objection. Unfuckingheardof. Even my best friend who is an experienced attorney said no way to that. This stupid cowboy believes he can control all information going to the jury and even prevent information he does not want the jury to know. Control and communist have a lot in common. He actually intimidated me with threats of contempt of court when he was in his nature the most contemptuous of all. But it about him and his show the injured plaintiff isn't important. Man deserves to get his head kicked in and as he knows I'm just the guy that could do it every time and anytime without hardly any effort. I mean this guy is a little pussy man and probably has a pussy himself. Lets get back on track I'm having too much fun with such a serious matter.

Outcome: He actually succeeded in letting the epileptic cited driver out from any repercussion and Gainsco insurance company not paying one dime for her causing a serious accident. Here is how crazy it really got; the defense attorney (attorney) covering for the case expressed to me during a conference "how bad she felt for Gainsco Insurance Company having to pay them for a defense". Are you kidding me. This is all true and sad for America. Furthermore, the judge did exactly what he said he wouldn't do at the start during his impressive speech about our great jury system; He took the case from the jury and ram-rodged it through the system via directed verdict. This judge fooled me. He acts reasonable and like a good man and then he knew exactly what he was going to do before we started the trial. He actually did it and he is going to get away with it "scott free". It too bad I don't believe in vigilante justice, otherwise, I would come and hurt him for stealing my car. But I'm not jewish, rather I'm catholic so I can't do that. This **crazy fuck** is yours and you deal with him. I **do not care anymore about the car** and I'm never going to your crazy justice system again. At least I made you steal from me which is exactly what the defense wanted to do in the first place. The funny thing is this same amoral defense lawyer would be the first to cry like a little baby if she lost her car through unfair means. Also, this case proves two important ideas to me: (1) The compulsory insurance system does not work because the Insurer gets to control the laws (justice system and the amount on the payouts even if it not fair or don't have to pay at all if they don't want to (like me) when it's their clients fault. (2) Amoral lawyers and judges can be legally right according to political laws but are wrong according to right and wrong standards. My case proves it verbatim and it is laughable. How fucked up can you get and still claim we have justice system.

After you review this complaint and case (see black notebook enclosed) **please forward** it to **the insurance commissioner and state bar of Arizona** in an effort to help these **confused souls**. I personally never want to hear or see any of you people again. To me, you are just pack rats saying the right things but always doing the wrong things because of money. The green god is treasured by the insurance people, lawyers, and judges. My dad had a saying: **get in bed with shit and you get shit on you**. I have **shit all over me** with this case. I will try to avoid all federal, state and city communist people throughout the rest of my life. Oh, the **good news** for the justice system is J.P. is an old man and he will be dying soon because of that fact. That will actually be a good event and I look forward to reading about it in the obituaries. Again, the paper will say how good this man was but **we know better don't we**.

Sincerely,

Copies mailed to: (1) Pari Scroggins (Defense) (2) J.P.