

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 07-240

Complainant: No. 1318700112A

Judge: No. 1318700112B

ORDER

The commission reviewed the complaint filed in this matter and determined that the alleged conduct was primarily legal in nature and occurred over three years ago.

The commission is not an appellate court and cannot change a judge's decisions; therefore, the complaint is dismissed pursuant to Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Dated: October 10, 2007.

FOR THE COMMISSION

 \g\ Keith Stott
Executive Director

Copies of this order were mailed to the complainant and the judge on October 10, 2007.

This order may not be used as a basis for disqualification of a judge.

CJC-07-240

Police Give me a New Judge
any relief - Doc - etc. Thanks

9/1/07
201-1431

Good Mornin!

Love, Donald Rauther

SEP 05 2007

what I would like to inform you:
That Durwin Senticin - from Mohave
County Kinkman, Judge Conn, Got off
of the judges bench - Went down to set
at the table, put his feet up at the
table - Judge Conn - said come over here!
in front of me, in front of the bench then
he put his head back, rotated his back
looking toward the ceiling - stuck his
tongue out, rotated his tongue around
Judge Conn keep looking at me - He
said you & Dustin, a 15 year old boy
consented to sex - Didnt you? Time and
again, he told me - well you and Dustin
consented to having sex - you took Dustin
pants down, had an oral sex with Dustin,
you both consented? Didnt you?

I had Pled guilty - No - Sex -
Went to trial I Pled No - Sex to
Dustin nor to him to me. For about
45 minutes, Judge Conn - had suggested
to me - My - Public Defender the
Prosecutor, Judge Conn had suggested
to them, me, that a crime of oral sex
with Dustin Rauther a 15 year old, suggestive
to the state - a No - 2 felony of oral sex
between, both of us - Dustin & me, had
happen, both of us said Judge Conn, consented

To Sex - I told judge Conn - No - I Plead
Not Guilty to oral Sex - with Dustin -

The jury ask after, stoppage deliberation,
to ask that judge Conn - Public Defender,
The State Prosecutor, The jury said could

there be a lesser charge. from a No -
2 felony oral sexual result, could the No - 1 charge

No - 2 felony be dropped to a misdemeanor -
Below a No - 6 felony - after the judge Conn
made a trial - The Prosecutor, Mark Smith,

Disguised, The No - 1 charge, No - 2 felony,
oral Sex, Sexual result, to be dropped
if possible to a misdemeanor, They said -

No - the charge of oral Sex & Sexual result
oral copulation - Has to stay at least
to a 6 felony - Sexual Contact -

The jury found me guilty -
No - 6 felony

after the judge Conn, had more
than suggested for me to Confess a No -
2 felony with Dustin - Sexual result

Suggestion from judge Conn
I had had a No - 2 felony,
a suggestion - Both of us had consented
to Sex - after being found guilty
other than - a No 2 felony - But
me Dustin - By Law I had not
consented to (Sex -) No - 2 felony

Non Did I -
I'm asking that, the committee, charge false Sex charges

CJC-07-240

(2)

against Judge Conn - During Sentencing
the charges of a No 2 felony No-1
Charge, oral Cupulation Sexual assault
against a No-15 year old Boy, Charged
also by the judge - We had
Consented to Sex - No-2 Sexual
assault - Charged to Me - with
drew - By the jury
Told to exist No-2 felony by
Judge Conn - Both Dustin
Judge Conn said - Both had
Had a consensual Sex - Oral Cupulation
No-2 Sexual assault by me
on a No-15 year old Boy
This is Not true -
The jury trial said - Mr. Prather
had Sexual Contact with a minor
I'm asking Judge Conn be
Sensored, from any charges
labeled against me During
Grand jury Indictment - through
trial, to be Dismissed
Due to false charges of the Prosecutor
Mark Smith - Judge Conn -
Both Mathew Smith Prosecutor
and Judge Conn - Suggested a No 2 felony
No-1 charge were Not true and the
State Continued to Charge me for a No 2 felony
Sexual assault, knowing it was unconvincing to Do So -

CJC-07-240 (2)

Dustin Koets The 15 year old Boy
Story that a No 2 felony - occupation
Sexual assault - That was Not the Case By
Dustin - The jury Said Dustin Simply
lied - a No-6 felony Sexual Conduct
was the result

all Partys Both By The
Grandjury - Prosecutor, Public Defender
Office - Judge Conn, Dustin Koets 15yr -
Showed all Partys Lied
During Trial - for one
Thing or another

Im asking for the release of
any Contact of Judge Conn -
You Can have a New Judge
and to seek - release of any
charges Due to the State Witnesses
who Suggested I had No 2 felony
after the jury Said No - No-2 felony
respectfully yours,

Mr. Donald J. Prather

165-1431

5A, 35 Central

approx Sentence Feb 3 02